



ADDENDUM #1 - RFP 2021 -001 Request for Proposals for Civil Engineering Services for Modernization at Jefferson Middle School

NOTICE TO PROPOSERS

ADDENDUM #1

RFP #2021-001 PROPOSITION H BOND CIVIL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR JEFFERSON MIDDLE SCHOOL MODERNIZATION PROJECT

Oceanside Unified School District

The following changes, additions, deletions, clarifications or corrections shall become part of the Request for Proposals for the above listed project. This Addendum #1 forms a part of the RFQ document and modifies the original documents. **Addendum #1 MUST be Acknowledged in the cover letter.** Failure to do so may subject response to disqualification.

Please note new submission date and time is September 9, 2020 at 2 pm.

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------|
| Modernization at Jefferson Middle School | Program Management | CCM/MAAS |
| Civil Engineering Services | Program Manager | Penny McGrew |

| RFQ QUESTION | DATE REQUESTED | RFQ RESPONSE |
|---|----------------|---|
| 1. Will a Site Map with a Project Limit Line be provided? | 08/27/2020 | Yes. A site map is provided as part of this addendum |
| 2. Will a copy of the current Campus Master Plan Concept be provided? | 08/27/20 | No. The District is currently in the process of selecting an Architect for this project |
| 3. Will a site walk sign-in sheet be provided? | 8/27/2020 | Yes. The sign-in sheet is provided as part of this addendum |
| 4. Will as-built site utility plans be provided. | 8/27/2020 | Yes. Record drawings are provided as part of this addendum |
| 5. When will info (above) be provided, prior to 9/4 due date? | 8/27/2020 | This will be provided as part of the final addendum 9/1/2020 |

| | | |
|--|-----------|--|
| 6. Please provide an conceptual architectural site plan of the proposed modernization master plan for the school. | 8/28/2020 | Please see response to question #2 |
| 7. Please confirm if the topographic survey scope of services will be required to be prevailing wages. | 8/28/2020 | That is correct. |
| 8. Please confirm all buildings on the campus except for the buildings labeled as building "L" shown on the handout providing to the bidders at the job walk are planned to be demolished. | 8/28/2020 | That is incorrect. There is currently no plan to demolish the site. |
| 9. Please make available the signing sheet from the pre-bid job walk | 8/28/2020 | Please see response to question #3 |
| 10. Given the need for an abundance of caution regarding health and safety during the COVID pandemic, would Oceanside Unified School District consider removing the proposal hard copy requirements for proposal submission? | 8/28/2020 | Section 1.4.5 of the RFQ addresses this question. Hard copies are still required for proposal submission. |
| 11. Will you please provide limits of the project and required limits of topographic survey and utility investigation? Furthermore, what are the limits of the modernization? | 8/28/2020 | The modernization planned encompasses the entire site. A site map is provided as part of this addendum. Both topographic and utility survey and mapping of the entire site shall be included in proposals. |
| 12. Will you please provide us with those programming documents and conceptual designs so we may know what to bid? | 8/28/2020 | Please see response to question #2. |
| 13. The CM mentioned that they want dry utility investigation and design incorporated into the Civil Engineering scope/fee. Is this accurate and if so please clarify the extent of required dry utility investigation and design. | 8/28/2020 | The planned modernization encompasses the entire site. A site map is provided as part of this addendum. Both topographic and utility survey and mapping of the entire site shall be included in proposals. |
| 14. Will you please provide those as-builts for assistance in bidding? | 8/28/2020 | Please see response to question #4 |

| | | |
|--|-----------|---|
| 15. Will you please provide this Master Plan? | 8/28/2020 | Please see response to question #2 |
| 16. Section 2.3.5 of the RFP states that civil services shall include preliminary investigations and concept design up to a Schematic Design submittal. Please clarify if this is intended to include only one Schematic Design Site Plan including grading and drainage or if it is solely intended to be for the establishment of existing topo and utility conditions alone. Is this RFP only intended to cover up to one Schematic Design submittal? | 8/28/2020 | It is solely intended to be for the establishment of existing topo and utility conditions alone. |
| 17. Please clarify that Design Development, Construction Documents, and Construction Administration are not included in this RFP. Are these work efforts intended to be separately bid out? | 8/28/2020 | That is correct |
| 18. How many phases of schematic design/construction are anticipated? | 8/28/2020 | Design development, Construction Documents and Construction Administration are not included in this RFP. |
| 19. Are we staying within the existing footprint of the existing school? Are the football field and utility yard to the southwest of the school included? | 8/28/2020 | The entire site will be modernized and as such, should be included in all proposals. |
| 20. Will camera'ing of the existing gravity utility lines (sewer and storm drain) be required? | 8/28/2020 | Yes |
| 21. Section 2.4.1 item 7 references as-builts. How is this possible when the RFP only covers Schematic Design? | 8/28/2020 | "As-Builts", in this instance, shall be considered current "As-Mapped" and/or "As-Surveyed" for the scope of work covered by the selected firm. |
| 22. Is there a preliminary schedule available for this design/construction and if so, will you please provide? | 8/28/2020 | Schedule is still in development |
| 23. With all of the unknowns and requests for information mentioned above, will the District entertain a bid due date extension? | 8/28/2020 | Proposals due date has been changed to September 9 by 2pm. |
| 24. Will the boundary survey include all of the District's legal property (generally bounded by Canyon Drive, Mission Avenue, Carey Road, Willow Avenue and Acacia Avenue, and Poplar Avenue) or areas to be selected near the proposed improvements? | 8/28/2020 | Correct. Proposing firms shall provide a boundary survey and mapping for the entire site |
| 25. How many alternatives/ schematic designs (and accompanying cost estimates) should we propose on for the civil schematic design task? | 8/28/2020 | Proposers shall provide a fee based on the listed scope of services in section 2.3.5 |

| | | |
|--|-----------|---|
| 26. Should the fee proposal be Time & Materials (T&M) or Lump Sum? | 8/28/2020 | Proposers shall provide a lump sum fee broken down by scopes of work as outlined in the RFP. Please include a schedule of rates for additional services. Please note that the cost of the title report shall be included in the fee proposal. |
| 27. The RFP states that the estimated construction costs are to be determined, but would the District provide a budget for this investigation and design effort? | 8/28/2020 | The overall modernization project has an engineered estimated value of \$15M |
| 28. May the civil drawings be provided in Civil 3D instead of Revit? | 8/28/2020 | Per section 2.3.5 subsection A, "drawings shall be prepared using AutoCAD 2010 or later and/or Revit. Other programs must be capable of producing DWG and DXF documents using AutoCAD 2000 specifications. |
| 29. Will the District provide limits for the requested utility base map? | 8/28/2020 | Yes. A site map is provided as part of this addendum. |
| 30. The RFP mentions coordinating with other design professionals, such as an architect, for this project. Will the District clarify whether only existing improvements are to be investigated and schematically designed or is there a proposed site plan (removed/remodeled/expanded/new buildings) to be considered in the scope of work? | 8/28/2020 | No. The District is currently in the process of selecting an Architect for this project |
| 31. Are there any new vehicle access or entry improvements proposed that need to be schematically designed under this contract? | 8/28/2020 | Not at this time. |
| 32. If there is a proposed site plan, will the District provide it so that respondents may accurately scope the schematic design effort under this RFP? | 8/28/2020 | No. The District is currently in the process of selecting an Architect for this project |
| 33. Can the District provide any previous geotechnical report(s) for those structures and any other geotechnical reporting for the school | 8/28/2020 | Yes. Record drawings are provided as part of this addendum |
| 34. Can the District provide as-graded reports for the school; | 8/28/2020 | Yes. Record drawings are provided as part of this addendum |
| 35. Can the District provide as-built plans for the school | 8/28/2020 | Yes. Record drawings are provided as part of this addendum |
| 36. Can the District provide structural plans for the school | 8/28/2020 | Yes. Record drawings are provided as part of this addendum |

To access additional documents, please click [here](#).

END OF ADDENDUM #1



Pre-Construction Meeting Job Sign-In Sheet

Project: Jefferson MS RFQP Civil Eng.: 2021-001 Site Walk
 Facilitator: CCM/MAAS, Oceanside USD
 Place/Room: JEFFERSON MS 823 Acacia Ave 823 Acacia Ave, Oceanside, California 92058

Date: August 27th, 2020
 Time: 10:00 AM

| PRINT NAME | ORGANIZATION | TITLE | PHONE | EMAIL |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. BARSIN BET GOVARGEZ | LITTLE | PRINCIPAL | 818 400 3090 | barsingovargez@littleonline.com |
| 2. Ali Khamisi | KPFF | Principal | 949.252.1022 | ali.khamisi@kpff.com |
| 3. BRUCE KIRBY | BKF | ASSOC. | 949 573.1369 | BKIRBY@BKF.com kpff.com |
| 4. Eric Ziolk | MBI | Project Manager | 808,260.7333 | Eric.Ziolk@mbakerintl.com |
| 5. Joanne Tyler | MBI | Pm | 760.603.6246 | Joanne.Tyler@mbakerintl.com |
| 6. Cody Reiger | Terradyne | Staff | 714 319 9651 | CREIGEL@Terradyne.com |
| 7. JAMES SINNEMA | IMEG CORP | PROJECT EXEC | 909 942 5553 | JAMES.A.SINNEMA@IMEG.CORP.COM |
| 8. | | | | |
| 9. | | | | |
| 10. | | | | |
| 11. | | | | |
| 12. | | | | |
| 13. | | | | |
| 14. | | | | |
| 15. | | | | |
| 16. | | | | |